Grades K-5 Literacy Assessment Data Snapshot
End of Year (EOY) 2020-2021

# This slide deck provides a District-level overview of $K-5^{\text {th }}$ grade student performance on the aimswebPlus Literacy Assessments from fall to spring. 



AimswebPlus is a universal screening, benchmarking, and progress-monitoring tool from Pearson.

## PT <br> P <br> P

During the 2020-21 school year, aimswebPlus was administered three times (fall, winter, and spring) in grades K-5. Students in each grade take multiple assessments, or "subtests." Each subtest measures a discrete literacy skill. Subtest scores are combined into a "composite score." Composite scores measure student performance on a combination of key skills that are critical to becoming a proficient reader.

AimswebPlus literacy assesses pre-literacy skills such as letter recognition, letter sounds, and blending for kindergarten. In first grade, the skills shift to oral reading fluency, and in second through fifth grade assessments progress to include identification of common words, oral and silent reading fluency, and reading comprehension.

## The District tracks student progress toward Board Goals by using Leading Indicators

The Leading Indicator of progress towards Goals 1 and 2 for the 2020-2021 school year is that by the Spring, $47 \%$ of students in grades K-3 and 51.4\% of students in grades 3-

8 will test at target on their within-year literacy assessment.

| Board Goal \#1 |
| :---: |
| The percentage of students in grades 3-8 who are |
| proficient on the state ELA assessment will grow |
| from 35.7\% in August 2019 to $65.0 \%$ by August |
| 2026. |

## Board Goal \#2

The percentage of 3rd grade students who are proficient on the state ELA assessment will grow from 32.5\% in August 2019 to 62.0\% by August 2026.

1. For more information, please visit the District's Office of Evaluation, Research, and Accountability's Goals and Guardrails page.

## K-5 students must take the following subtests in order to receive a Composite Score:

| Grade Level | Subtest Name (Required for Composite Score) | Skill Assessed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | Letter Naming Fluency (LNF) | Number of upper-case and lower-case letters that students can identify in one minute. |
|  | Letter Word Sounds Fluency (LWSF) | Number of sounds of letters, syllables, and words that students can identify in one minute. |
| First | Oral reading Fluency (ORF) | Number of words in a story that students read correctly in one minute. |
| Second and Third | Oral reading Fluency (ORF) | Number of words in a story that students read correctly in one minute. |
|  | Reading Comprehension (RC) | Number of correct responses to multiple choice reading comprehension questions about six short passages. |
|  | Vocabulary (VOC) | Number of correct responses to multiple choice questions about meanings of target words. |
| Fourth and Fifth | Reading Comprehension (RC) | Number of correct responses to multiple choice reading comprehension questions about six short passages. |
|  | Vocabulary (VOC) | Number of correct responses to multiple choice questions about meanings of target words. |
|  | Silent Reading Fluency (SRF) | Number of correct responses to multiple-choice questions related to a reading passage. |

## We measure student performance using the following metrics:

| Metric | Description | Analytic Purpose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average National Percentile | A student's National Percentile; a norm-referenced performance measure that compares a student's scaled score to her grade-level peers nationwide. | The Percentile Rank is useful for understanding a student's reading ability compared to other students in the same grade nationally. |
| Tier Level | Based on a student's Percentile Rank, Tiers are used to identify the level of intervention students need in order to reach proficiency. There are four tier levels: <br> - Tier 1 indicates that students are testing "at target" and are on track to read proficiently. <br> - Tier $\mathbf{2}$ indicates that students need strategic intervention to ensure progress towards proficiency. <br> - Tier 3 indicates that students require intensive intervention to make progress towards becoming a proficient reader. | Tier Levels are useful for understanding District-wide performance trends, as well as for identifying students who need additional supports in the classroom. |

## Student Participation in Assessments

How many students participated in the fall and spring 2020-21 assessment?

## Overall, K-3 students' aimswebPlus participation rates decreased between fall and spring.

| Grade Level | Term | Number of students eligible to participate in assessment | Number of students who were assessed | Participation rate (percentage of students who were assessed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-3 Overall | Fall | 26,359 | 23,752 | 90.1\% |
|  | Winter | 26,581 | 24,172 | 90.9\% |
|  | Spring | 26,625 | 23,329 | 87.6\% |
| Kindergarten | Fall | 7,376 | 6,772 | 85.3\% |
|  | Winter | 7,615 | 7,104 | 89.0\% |
|  | Spring | 7,702 | 6,987 | 86.8\% |
| 1st Grade | Fall | 9,501 | 8,839 | 85.7\% |
|  | Winter | 9,482 | 8,759 | 87.3\% |
|  | Spring | 9,480 | 8,493 | 84.0\% |
| 2nd Grade | Fall | 9,347 | 7,922 | 83.7\% |
|  | Winter | 9,327 | 8,233 | 87.6\% |
|  | Spring | 9,300 | 7,886 | 84.5\% |
| 3rd Grade | Fall | 9,482 | 8,141 | 84.2\% |
|  | Winter | 9,484 | 8,309 | 87.6\% |
|  | Spring | 9,443 | 7,849 | 82.1\% |

## Overall, 4-5 students' aimswebPlus participation rates decreased between fall and spring.

| Grade Level | Term | Number of students eligible to participate in assessment | Number of students who were assessed | Participation rate (percentage of students who were assessed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-5 Overall | Fall | 18,241 | 15,462 | 84.8\% |
|  | Winter | 18,196 | 15,786 | 86.8\% |
|  | Spring | 18,205 | 14,283 | 78.5\% |
| 4th Grade | Fall | 9,169 | 7,786 | 84.3\% |
|  | Winter | 9,132 | 7,914 | 87.2\% |
|  | Spring | 9,130 | 7,221 | 79.3\% |
| 5th Grade | Fall | 9,072 | 7,676 | 83.6\% |
|  | Winter | 9,064 | 7,872 | 87.3\% |
|  | Spring | 9,075 | 7,062 | 79.0\% |

A higher percentage of Asian and white K-5 students took the spring aimswebPlus assessments when compared to Black/African American, Hispanic/Latinx, and Multi-racial/Other K-5 students.

| Race/Ethnicity | Term | Number of students eligible to participate in assessment | Number of students who were assessed | Participation rate (percentage of students who were assessed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | Fall | 4,854 | 4,555 | 90.0\% |
|  | Winter | 4,844 | 4,563 | 92.6\% |
|  | Spring | 4,795 | 4,384 | 89.9\% |
| Black/African American | Fall | 25,324 | 21,219 | 82.3\% |
|  | Winter | 25,446 | 22,008 | 85.6\% |
|  | Spring | 25,494 | 20,380 | 79.1\% |
| Hispanic/Latinx | Fall | 12,781 | 11,277 | 81.6\% |
|  | Winter | 12,908 | 11,577 | 86.1\% |
|  | Spring | 13,019 | 11,158 | 81.7\% |
| Multi-racial/Other | Fall | 2,126 | 1,879 | 87.0\% |
|  | Winter | 2,119 | 1,896 | 88.8\% |
|  | Spring | 2,121 | 1,765 | 82.6\% |
| White | Fall | 8,695 | 8,057 | 91.0\% |
|  | Winter | 8,621 | 7,999 | 92.9\% |
|  | Spring | 8,534 | 7,665 | 89.7\% |

## Participation rates for K-5 students with an IEP decreased by 4.8 percentage points from fall to spring.

| Subgroup | Term | Number of students eligible <br> to participate in assessment | Number of students who <br> were assessed | Participation rate <br> (percentage of students who <br> were assessed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economically | Fall | 39,784 | 34,299 | $82.9 \%$ |
| Disadvantaged | Winter | 40,790 | 35,944 | $86.4 \%$ |
|  | Spring | 41,849 | 34,583 | $80.9 \%$ |
| ELs (English Learners) | Fall | Winter | 7,314 | 6,593 |
|  | Spring | 7,395 | 6,773 | $83.7 \%$ |
| Has IEP <br> (Individualized <br> Education Plan*) | Fall | Winter | 8,402 | 6,522 |

[^0] participation rate includes all students, including those who are exempted, in the denominator.

## Focus on Grades K-3 Tier-Level Analysis

## The percentage of kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grade students in need of

 Tier 3/Intensive Intervention decreased from fall to spring, while the percentage of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students increased.| Grade Level | Term | Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | Fall | 16.5\% | 21.5\% | 62.0\% |
|  | Winter | 17.6\% | 13.6\% | 68.8\% |
|  | Spring | 33.4\% | 7.8\% | 58.7\% |
| 1st Grade | Fall | 24.6\% | 8.2\% | 67.2\% |
|  | Winter | 28.2\% | 9.1\% | 62.7\% |
|  | Spring | 33.6\% | 7.7\% | 58.7\% |
| 2nd Grade | Fall | 52.6\% | 16.6\% | 30.8\% |
|  | Winter | 44.2\% | 15.7\% | 40.1\% |
|  | Spring | 46.4\% | 9.9\% | 43.6\% |
| 3rd Grade | Fall | 44.1\% | 16.6\% | 39.3\% |
|  | Winter | 39.5\% | 16.3\% | 44.3\% |
|  | Spring | 40.0\% | 11.9\% | 48.1\% |

A higher percentage of K-3 students with an IEP in need of Tier 3 /Intensive Intervention increased from fall to spring, while the percentage of English Learners and Economically Disadvantaged students decreased.

| Subgroup | Term | Tier 1/At or Above <br> Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic <br> Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive <br> Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall | $19.6 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ |
|  | Winter | $19.5 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ |
|  | Spring | $25.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | Fall | $29.7 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
|  | Winter | $27.4 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ |
| Spring | $32.7 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |  |
|  | Fall | $22.1 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ |
|  | Wpring | $18.2 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ |

* All students are required to participate except for students who are exempted based on their Individualized Education Plan (IEP). For the Special Education student group, participation rate includes all students, including those who are exempted, in the denominator.

A higher percentage of Asian and white K-3 students scored in Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark compared to Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx students, according to their spring assessments.

| Race/Ethnicity | Term | Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | Fall | 47.8\% | 18.7\% | 33.5\% |
|  | Winter | 49.0\% | 15.8\% | 35.2\% |
|  | Spring | 55.7\% | 10.2\% | 34.1\% |
| Black / African American | Fall | 31.4\% | 15.3\% | 53.3\% |
|  | Winter | 27.3\% | 13.6\% | 59.1\% |
|  | Spring | 32.4\% | 9.9\% | 57.8\% |
| Hispanic / Latinx | Fall | 23.5\% | 14.0\% | 62.5\% |
|  | Winter | 22.1\% | 12.4\% | 65.6\% |
|  | Spring | 26.5\% | 8.7\% | 64.8\% |
| Multi-racial / Other | Fall | 45.3\% | 15.4\% | 39.3\% |
|  | Winter | 44.1\% | 13.9\% | 42.0\% |
|  | Spring | 50.8\% | 9.4\% | 39.8\% |
| White | Fall | 50.2\% | 15.4\% | 34.4\% |
|  | Winter | 51.7\% | 14.0\% | 34.3\% |
|  | Spring | 59.0\% | 8.5\% | 32.5\% |

Focus on Grades 4-5
Tier-Level Analysis

## The percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students in need of Tier 3/Intensive Intervention decreased consistently from fall to spring.

| Grade Level | Term | Tier 1/At or Above <br> Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic <br> Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive <br> Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th Grade | Fall | $42.2 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ |
|  | Winter | $43.3 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ |
| 5th Grade | Spring | $51.8 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
|  | Fall | $47.4 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |

## According to their spring assessments, about $68 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade students who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) require Tier 3 /Intensive Intervention.

| Subgroup | Term | Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELs (English Learners) | Fall | 29.4\% | 17.7\% | 52.9\% |
|  | Winter | 30.4\% | 17.5\% | 52.1\% |
|  | Spring | 41.5\% | 12.3\% | 46.2\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Fall | 39.6\% | 17.3\% | 43.1\% |
|  | Winter | 39.1\% | 16.8\% | 44.1\% |
|  | Spring | 48.7\% | 10.2\% | 41.1\% |
| Has IEP (Individualized Education Plan*) | Fall | 20.9\% | 9.8\% | 69.3\% |
|  | Winter | 17.6\% | 11.4\% | 71.0\% |
|  | Spring | 25.1\% | 7.4\% | 67.5\% |

* All students are required to participate except for students who are exempted based on their Individualized Education Plan (IEP). For the Special Education student group, participation rate includes all students, including those who are exempted, in the denominator.


## A lower percentage of Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx

 $4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade students scored in Tier $1 /$ At or Above Benchmark on the spring assessments compared to their Asian and white peers.| Race/Ethnicity | Term | Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark | Tier 2/Strategic Intervention | Tier 3/Intensive Intervention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | Fall | 65.4\% | 13.7\% | 20.9\% |
|  | Winter | 66.8\% | 12.9\% | 20.4\% |
|  | Spring | 75.5\% | 7.9\% | 16.7\% |
| Black / African American | Fall | 38.5\% | 18.0\% | 43.5\% |
|  | Winter | 37.0\% | 17.4\% | 45.7\% |
|  | Spring | 46.8\% | 10.2\% | 43.0\% |
| Hispanic / Latinx | Fall | 34.6\% | 17.2\% | 48.2\% |
|  | Winter | 34.8\% | 16.4\% | 48.8\% |
|  | Spring | 44.4\% | 11.3\% | 44.3\% |
| Multi-racial / Other | Fall | 51.0\% | 15.2\% | 33.8\% |
|  | Winter | 53.2\% | 15.1\% | 31.8\% |
|  | Spring | 57.4\% | 9.7\% | 33.0\% |
| White | Fall | 64.8\% | 13.2\% | 22.0\% |
|  | Winter | 66.1\% | 12.0\% | 21.9\% |
|  | Spring | 70.6\% | 7.6\% | 21.8\% |

Focus on Grades K-3 School Year Trends

## In spring 2020-21, $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade students met the annual 2020-21 board goal target. On average, students in grades $\mathrm{K}-1$ did not meet the target.



The percentage of kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grade students in Tier $1 /$ At or Above Benchmark increased from fall to spring, while the percentage of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students in Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark decreased.


# Focus on Grades 4-5 

School Year Trends

## According to their spring assessments, $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students met/exceeded the Board Goal target for SY 2020-21.



Data labels refer to $5^{\text {th }}$ grade. See next slide for detailed information about all groups. For more information about annual targets and the Board of Education's Goals and Guardrails, please visit philasd.org/era/goals-and-guardrails and click on the "Progress Monitoring Data".

The percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark increased about 10 percentage points from fall to spring.


Focus on Grades K-3
Average National Percentile Rank

## With the exception of 1st grade, all K-5 students experienced a decrease in their National Percentile Rank (NPR) from fall to spring.

|  | Average National Percentile Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Kindergarten | 26.0 | 19.4 | 23.9 |
| 1st Grade | 21.5 | 23.0 | 24.9 |
| 2nd Grade | 45.3 | 36.9 | 33.5 |
| 3rd Grade | 36.0 | 32.0 | 29.6 |
| 4th Grade | 39.3 | 39.9 | 38.5 |
| 5th Grade | 43.2 | 41.0 | 41.5 |

## While all K-3 students experienced a decrease in their NPR from fall to spring, Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx students experienced a larger percentage point decrease than Asian and white students.

|  | Average National Percentile Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| Asian | 41.5 | 39.2 | 38.7 |
| Black / African American | 29.8 | 24.4 | 24.2 |
| Hispanic Latinx | 23.4 | 19.8 | 19.4 |
| Multi-racial / Other | 41.4 | 37.6 | 38.5 |
| White | 44.4 | 42.4 | 42.8 |

## K-3 students with an IEP experienced a 6 percentage point NPR decrease from fall to spring.

|  | Average National Percentile Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| EL (English Learners) | 20.6 | 17.6 | 17.3 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 28.2 | 24.2 | 24.0 |
| Has IEP (Individualized Education Plan*) | 22.1 | 16.7 | 15.7 |

* All students are required to participate except for students who are exempted based on their Individualized Education Program (IEP). For the Special Education student group, participation rate includes all students, including those who are exempted, in the denominator.


# Focus on Grades 4-5 <br> Average National Percentile Rank 

## Asian and white $4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade students had higher average NPRs compared to their Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx peers.

|  | Average National Percentile Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall | Winter | Spring |
|  | 58.1 | 59.1 | 59.0 |
| Black / African American | 36.3 | 34.8 | 34.6 |
| Hispanic Latinx | 32.6 | 31.8 | 32.2 |
| Multi-racial / Other | 46.1 | 46.6 | 44.1 |
| White | 56.7 | 56.9 | 54.3 |

## On the spring assessments, 4th-5th grade students with an IEP had a lower NPR than EL or Economically Disadvantaged students.

| Subgroup | Average National Percentile Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELs (English Learners) | 26.8 | Winter | Spring |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 37.2 | 26.3 | 27.5 |
| Has IEP (Individualized Education Plan*) | 20.9 | 36.4 | 36.4 |

* All students are required to participate except for students who are exempted based on their Individualized Education Plan (IEP). For the Special Education student group, participation rate includes all students, including those who are exempted, in the denominator.


## Summary - AimswebPlus Literacy, grades K-5

- K-5 student aimswebPlus literacy participation rates decreased between fall and spring.
- The percentage of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students requiring Tier 3/Intervention increased from the fall to spring, compared to the other grade levels.
- The percentage of K-5 students with an IEP requiring Tier 3/Intensive Intervention increased from fall to spring.
- While the percentage of K-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ grade students in Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark increased from fall to spring, they did not meet the Board Goal Target of 47\% performing at Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark.
- By the spring assessment, $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students met/exceeded the Board Goal Target of $51.4 \%$ performing at Tier 1/At or Above Benchmark.
- The majority of K-5 students performed lower, on average, than their peers nationwide.


[^0]:    * All students are required to participate except for students who are exempted based on their Individualized Education Plan (IEP). For the Special Education student group,

