

Evaluation of the School District of Philadelphia's Renaissance Initiative

Findings from the study's first year

July 16, 2018

Kristin Hallgren • Kevin Kelly, **Mathematica Policy Research**
Katrina Morrison, **Research for Action**

Overview of today's presentation

- **Overview of three-year evaluation plan**
- **Key findings**
 - **Crosscutting implementation findings**
 - **Findings within the five areas of the district's designated turnaround plan**
 - **Findings specific to Renaissance Charter schools**

Three year evaluation plan



Report Year	Key study activities
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Literature reviewImplementation analysis to understand context and supports for RI/TN during 2016/17 and 2017/18 school years, and the extent to which the efforts align with literature
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Examine impact of Renaissance Charters and Turnaround Network on student outcomesAnalysis of SDP stakeholder surveys as needed
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow up implementation analysis for context and supports during 2018/19 and 2019/20 school yearsExamine impact of Renaissance Charters and Turnaround Network on student outcomesConduct cost study

Respondents included in interviews/focus groups

Respondent type	Total respondents
District or CMO officials	11
Principals	7
Assistant Principals	6
Instructional coaches	15
Student support staff	14
Teachers	
K-2	22
3-5	12
6-8	13
Special populations	9
Parents	21
Total	130

Source: March 2018 site visits.
 CMO = charter management organization

Key implementation findings

- **Administrators in some– but not all– schools regularly communicated a vision of collaboration and shared responsibility for the whole school’s success**
- **Some turnaround supports could be more effective with increased collaboration or differentiation**
- **Addressing behavior and trauma remains paramount to improving student academic achievement**
- **Programming and resources that demonstrate a school’s mission to support students and families have largely resolved initial community resistance**

Challenges that created need for turnaround continue as barriers to success

- **Schools experienced high staff turnover and faced recruitment challenges**
- **The designation as a “turnaround school” had negative connotations**
- **Principals needed more time and communication about their schools’ specific needs before opening their schools**

Findings within the five areas of the district's designated turnaround plan

- 1) Cultivating turnaround principals**
- 2) Teaching and learning continuous improvement**
- 3) Professional growth**
- 4) Health and safety**
- 5) Community involvement**

Cultivating turnaround principals

- Principals met regularly with their direct supervisors and district-level or CMO administrators
- Most staff had positive perceptions of principal leadership
- Some staff raised concerns about principal ability to encourage morale and provide instructional support

Teaching and learning continuous improvement

- **Instructional coaching contributed to teachers' professional growth, especially when the coach's role was clearly established**
- **I-Ready holds promise as a resource to inform teachers' instructional practices, but persistent challenges complicated its use**
- **Some staff believed they lacked needed resources or expertise to effectively use available materials for improving instructional practices**

Professional growth

- **Differentiated professional development opportunities, informed through data, promoted professional growth more than generic trainings**
 - Summer boot camps described as generic and less customized than desired
- **Staff from schools with consistent behavior routines reported greater engagement in professional growth opportunities**
 - Staff expended less energy on managing behavior and could focus on PD

Health and safety

- **Staff expressed strong need for trauma-informed training for teachers and counseling for students and families**
- **Some schools had features of well-functioning school climates but these features were inconsistently implemented**
- **Comprehensive supports for students and families seemed most effective when based out of the school building**
 - **Promoted family buy-in: the school demonstrated good intention to help the community**
- **Lack of staff collaboration in some schools posed challenges to providing comprehensive supports**

Community involvement

- **Schools used multiple opportunities for communication and outreach (e.g., in-person, written, email, text) that accommodated parents' schedules and needs**
- **Schools benefited from dedicated staff who are liaisons (SISLs) between the school and community**
- **Liaisons helped align the services provided by the school with demonstrated needs of the community**

Highlights from Renaissance Charters

Mastery at Wister

Topic	Key finding
Key success, according to staff:	The vision of the school and the largely Mastery-veteran workforce
Cultivate principals	Most administrators had served in leadership roles at other Mastery schools
Teaching and continuous improvement	Data day followed by a week of re-teaching not-yet-mastered concepts
Professional growth	2 week-long trainings, weekly early dismissal, coaching cycles
Health and safety	Need for PD on trauma-informed care; 4-person school culture team
Community involvement	Parents sign children out of school; school hosts community events

GLA Southwest (Huey)

Topic	Key finding
Key success, according to staff:	School leadership team facilitating a shared vision, community buy-in, and initiatives to improve student achievement
Cultivate principals	Limited– but needed-- initial and ongoing collaboration with SDP
Teaching and continuous improvement	Curriculum materials not available for the first half of 2016-2017 school year because of a delayed contract
Professional growth	Many staff are new to teaching. School has weekly and monthly PD but limited individual coaching or differentiated PD
Health and safety	Improvements in behavior incidents, but need more consistent response to extreme behavioral challenges
Community involvement	After strong community objections, the school developed community buy-in by hosting events and providing needed resources like food.

Wrap up

Cohesive schools seemed to...

- ‘Handpick’ staff, experience less turnover, and report fewer vacancies
- Have consistent behavior routines that were implemented schoolwide
- Have clearly defined, cohesive, and not siloed roles and responsibilities
- Celebrate successes of students, teachers, and the school
- Acknowledge and consider the community context

Which made space for:

- Collaborative teams
- Engagement in professional development opportunities and data use
- Opportunities for reteaching on a regular basis
- Academic learning and growth

For more information

- Joy Lesnick, School District of Philadelphia
- Kristin Hallgren, Mathematica
 - KHallgren@mathematica-mpr.com, 609-275-2397